L B. 186-93 ML 1900 P15

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

~~L. B. 186-93~~

1900-01
(Municl)

File No. 88-1900

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—3

Major ~~Part~~ B for ogust 1901

SUBJECT.

Reinstatement of M. Subba Rao as Lighting Inspector in the B. Cl. Municipality

---

Endorsed

19-8-19

W D 1250—GFB—30,000 ·28-1-19

The man did not appeal for 3 years, and in the meanwhile accepted his punishment & got temporary appts from the same officer that dismissed him. He may be told that Govt do not feel inclined to question this order after this long lapse of time. Subdt to Govt Cor

5/5

Does not the standing order relating to Preliminaries to be observed before dismissing an official apply to Municipal Employees

Call for the original papers relating to the Petn Municipal, & for information as to the correctness or otherwise of the allegation made by the Petr in para 4 of his petition

Draft to issue

8/5/07

8/5

A.S. Chitty 8/5. Drafted Mch 9/5

Petn of Goolbai Row, late Lighting Inspectress, praying for reinstatement is presented

Petr has been dismissed from service for neglect of duty and disobedience.

May be informed that Court declines to interfere

Dr J 26/4/07

Submitted to Secretary.

As stated by the Petitioner he received an order on the 31st January 1898 to specify the No. of Lanterns in which lamps were extinguished & the very next day 1st Feby/98 he receives another order dismissing him from the Service for not giving the info required by the order of the previous day. This is very hard upon the Petitioner. I know as a matter of fact that the Municipal Servants were being fined daily, but dismissal seems to be a very heavy punishment

2

In the present case

JB

29/4/1901

The above (order

of the 2nd Councillor)

having been communicated

to the President, he states

that there are no papers

in the records of his office

relating to the dismissal

of the Peto and produces the

his diary book of 1897-98 as the

only record concerning him.

On 24 January 1898, the

President recorded the

following order and the

same was initialled by Peto

"You must state the

no. of the lamps in future".

and on the diary of 1/2/98

wherein Peto reported bad

lighting generally the President

directed the Manager

"write an order

dispensing with services of this

man on the ground of unfitness

unfitness and disobedience to orders. Over and over again I have had to tell him to do drills & no attention is paid - He was ordered to note the nos of lamps in his diary and he has not done so.

The first of the two orders was formally communicated to Petri on 31st January (7 days after). and the other one on 1st February 1898. Between the ~~25th~~ 25th & 31st January ~~and 1st February~~ 1898, there was no order of the President in the diary drawing Petri's attention to the order of 24th Idem. In fact that was the first and the last order regarding the nos of lamps to be given

formally given on 31/1/98

and the man was
dismissed without even
a warning. on the day
following the ~~issue~~
issue of formal order.

In regard to the
2nd Councillors query as
to the applicability to
municipal servants of the
standing order relating to
the preliminaries to be
observed before dismissing
an official, it is submitted
that Pro etc $\frac{4444}{\text{Pet } 383}$ of 8-9-79
and etc $\frac{1992 - 2028}{\text{Pet } 303}$ of 19-8-96
were communicated to the
Presidents of the municipalities
of the Bangalore City and
intended to apply to the
municipal servants also.
Please see Pro etc $\frac{5.72}{\text{L.F } 197-92}$ of
19-11-97 passed in regard to
the dismissal of etc Tellenfow

D.J. 1/6/01

Subs to Secretary for orders

As observed by the 2nd Comptroller, the Petitioner was apparently in hopes of requiring the President's favor, as the latter had given him some temporary appointment in the Police Department subsequent to his dismissal.

JB
5/6/1901

Submitted to the 3rd Asst Sec for Hearing & orders

JH
5/6





Bor. To Petn Branch.
Pleased register and send to Local Boards Branch
(20) L.A 11.3.01

To. Local Boards Branch as per orders of the Under Secretary on the petn.
29.3.01

Submitted. The order represented against has not been pronounced.
23/3/01

The petr should produce copy of the order complained against and explain why & how he has delayed & omitted in appealing to Govt.
23/3/07
G.K.N
23/3
Recd 26/3

Petr has not produced copy of the ~~President's~~ order dismissing him. His appeal should be submitted to Govt thro' the President.
28/3/07

1901
~~189~~

L 671

No. 1807.

Name of Petitioner B Subba Rao

Village No 121 Balepet

Taluk } Bangalore city
District }

Date of Arzi 7.(12).3.01

Date of Receipt 11.3.01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

(Petn in English)

ABSTRACT

Appeal against the order of the President Bangalore city Municipality (Mr Leonard) dated 1-2-1898, dismissing him from the post of Lighting Inspector city Municipality.

States that he had put in a service of 10 years when he was made Lighting Inspector in 1896 and was removed from service for no fault; no grave fault.

Prays for reinstatement.
20.3.01

Box
To Pet B.
Please peg letter
+ send to L B Bagur
11/3/01
1807

To

1807

The Secretary to Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Proc. 1807-62
Dept. 11-3-1901

Respected Sir,

I beg to approach you with the following few lines and request you will be pleased to consider them in the light they deserve.

I was serving in the Bangalore City Municipality in several capacities since July 1888. When I had put in 10 years' service I was made Lighting Inspector in 1896 by Mr. Leonard the then President of the City Municipal Board.

The Municipality then had only one Lighting Inspector for the whole City. The work of inspecting lighted lamps, fixing and refixing lamp posts and providing lamp posts wherever they were needed and other works of a similar kind gave me full work for the whole day. In obedience to Mr. Leonard's orders I was submitting daily, my Diary of work done.

On the 31st January 1898, Mr. Leonard ordered me to give full particulars of the lamps that went out during the nights or such as were not lit at all. To misfortune, before I could obey this order, Mr. Leonard dispensed with my services the very next day- 1st February 1898- on the plea that particulars of the lamps that were not lit and of those that went out were not shewn in my diary that day, though I had submitted that I should be supplied hand lanterns to find out the number of the lamp. No explanation was called for from me, before dismissing me. I beg to submit that I was following the old practice of reporting the lamps that went out by simply giving the list of the Sunset or the lamps where the lamps were not lit or were extinguished. I was not given even 24-hours time to change my very next report as directed by Mr. Leonard.

Since 1898 I have been left without any permanent footing in the Municipality, though I was given temporary

appointments in the City Plague Department-by Mr. Leonard himself while as President, he had charge of the Plague Department also.

When I represented my case to the President in Dec, he said he cannot interfere in the matter, as his predecessor had dispensed with my services,; and the only course now open to me,is to appeal to Government against the dismissal order of Mr.Leonard.

I beg to enclose herein copies of testimonials given me by my official superiors under whom I have served. From these, it will be plain, that whatever may be a mistake, I did not deserve such a heavy punishment as dismissal. In fact I beg to state that I have committed no fault in the discharge of my duties.

In these circumstances, I beg I may be reinstated in my appointment

For which act of kindness and charity, I pray for your long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient and humble servant,

Bangalore,
12-3-1901.

B. Subba Rao

B.Subba Rao,
121, Balepettah;
Bangalore City.

No 9-0

B.Subba Rao, requestin, to registier nis nare to be as a [illegible] in the City Municipal O fice,dated 17th Instant.

Endorsement thereon :- The petitioner is informed that his name has been registered in this office, as a volunteer.

City Muni Office,
Bangalore
23rd July 1888.

(Sd) A.Srinivasa Charlu B.E.
Vice President;
City Municipal Commission.

Extract from the Office order Dated 16th April 1889.

Sooba Row is appointed as temporary clerk for preparation of House Register in the Town of Bangalore in the bit that will be furnished him. He will be required to inspect daily 100, (hundred) houses and make entries of the same in his Return.
Salary Rs.10.

Bangalore
16th April 1889.

(Sd) A.Srinivasa Charlu.B.E.
Vice President,City Muni Comm.

MarattaSoob Row worked under me when I was President of the Bangalore City Municipality,as Registrar of Births and Deaths, and as a Conservancy Overseer in addition to his duties as far as I can remember. I found him steady,honest and hardworking: he gave me entire satisfaction.

Bangalore
4th Feby /88.

)) (Sd) A.S.Raghava Charlu.

This is to certify that Manarati Subba Rau was employed in the City Municipality in different capacities for nearly a period of 4yea He was also under me when I was Collector in the head Office and I always found him to be active and hardworking as well as thoroughly honest.

January 98.

P.Seshadas
Control Dept. Bangalore City.

his is to certify that L.Subba Row has been employed as a Disinfecting Inspector from 16th October 1898 to 15th January 1899 in ward no:1 and has been working hard and satisfactorily. On account of reduction in establishment his services were dispensed with.

(Sd) V. Abboy Naidu,
Ward Officer, 1st Ward.

Bangalore.
15th January 1899.

Bangalore,
28th August 1900.

During the period I was Ward Medical Officer of Ward No 2 I have known Mahratti L.Subba Row. He is an intelligent man, capable of doing any amount of hard work and is willing and obedient.

He aspires for an Asst·Disinfecting Inspector's post for which, I think, he could be advantageously appointed considering his experience in plague matters, particularly in disinfecting work, he having been a Disinfecting Inspector once.

I should be glad to see his being suitably provided for.

T. Lukshmana sawmi,
Asst· Surgeon.

Copies of Testimonials.

I.

NO.259

Petition from B.Subba Rao requesting to register his name to be as a Volunteer in the City Muncipal Office dated 17th instant.

Endorsement thereon.

The petitioner is informed that his name has been registered in this Office as Volunteer.

(Signed.) A.Srinivasa Charlu. R.B..

Vice-President. City Mul. Comn.

City Mul. Office. Bangalore
23rd July 1888.

////////////////

II.

Extract from the Office Order dated 16th April 1889.

Subba Rao is appointed as temporary Clerk for preparation of House Register in the town of Bangalore in the form that will be furnished him. He will be required to inspect daily 100 (Hundred) and make entries of the same in his Return. Salary Rs. 10/-

Sd. A.Srinivasa Charlu. R.B..

Vice-President. City Munl. Commn..

Bangalore. 16th April 1889.

////////////////

III.

Marata Subba Rao worked under me when I was the Vice-President of the Bangalore City Municipality. as Registrar of Births and Deaths. and as a Conservancy Overseer in addition to

to his duties as far as I can remember. I found him studv honest, hard working. he gave me entire satisfaction.

Sd. A.S.Raghava Charlu.

Bangalore 4th Februarv 1899.

//////////////////////

IV.

This is to certify that B.Subba Rao has been employed as a Disinfecting Inspector from 18th October 1898. 15th January 1899. in Ward No.1 and has been working hard and satisfactorilv. On account of reduction in Establishment his services were dispensed with.

Sd. V.Abbavi Naidu.

Ward Officer. No.I Ward.

Bangalore. 15th January 1899.

////////////////

V.

Bangalore.

28th August 1900.

During the period I was Ward Medical Officer of Ward No.II I have known Maratti B.Subba Rao. he is an intelligent man capable of doing any amount of hard work and he is willing and obedient.

He aspires for an Assistant Inspector's Post for which. I think. he could be advantageously appointed considering his experience in Plague matters particulialv in Disinfecting work. he having been a Disinfecting Inspector once. I should be glad to see his being suitablv provided for.

Sd. P. Luksmanswamv.

Assistant Surgeon.

No. 12747
L.T.303-96

Mene 88-1900-D

D.L.172

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

L-9

~~PETITION~~ DEPARTMENT,

The 29/3/01

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO B Subba Rao No 121

Balepet, Bangalore city

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1807 / L 1671 dated 12th March 01

appealing against the order of the President & Bangalore Assessor dismissing him from the post of Lighting Inspector

Petitioner is informed that he showed ~~produced copy of the order complained against and explained why he has delayed to lodge appeal~~ to sooth, to submit his appeal with a copy of the order appealed against through the President of the City Municipality, Bangalore

G-K N

Redraft as per pencil order

DJ 26/3

From the Pt Bangalore City

2019 17/4/01 L 1903-

By Order,

Des 27/3/01

for Secretary.

DJ 26/3/01

Misc nl 88-1900-3

15

189/. L1903

12224

22-4-01

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

BANGALORE CITY.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Genl & Rev Depts'
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 17th

Received 19th April 1901

General No. 201
No.

Enclosure No. 7

Forwarding the appeal of Mr Scobb a Rep, late Lighting Inspector of this Municipality together with its enclosures.

To the Govt
Ja 15-144 11/5

L 7
2240

No 24/4/01
A S Chn
Org 24/4/01.
Submitted with note
Org 26/4

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

No. 201/7 City Bangalore, 14th April 1900

From

The President, Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the appeal of M. Soobba Rao, late lighting Inspector of this Municipality against the order of dismissal passed by W. J. Leonard the late President in 1898.

The appellant was dismissed for not attending to his work properly and for neglect and disobedient Conduct. He had been previous to his dismissal, repeatedly warned, and once fined

heavily.

Subsequently the former President telephoned, saying he had been given some temporary appointments in connection with Plague and other arrangements

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]
President

WRH

17

1898-99. 2100

Mune 88-1900-4

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Bangalore City Municipality.



To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Dated 16 May 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 283
No.

Enclosure No. one with 1 diary B/C

To Secretary's Docket No. 15144 L.F. 303-96 dy 11 May 1901 requesting to submit the original papers relating to the dismissal of the petitioner Subba Rao.

Submitting the diary &c as requested in the above.

S 7
D.O.R

A S ch
dy 21/5/01

Spare copies of the standing orders put up.

16

Office of the Municipal Commission,

City, Bangalore, 16 - May 1901.

No 283
Ent'l Book
From

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
BANGALORE CITY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 15144/17303-96 dated the 11th May 1901, I have the honor to state that the only record found in this office regarding the late lighting Inspector Subba Rao is his diary book for 1897-98 in which all orders referring to him are recorded. It is herewith submitted.

2. The order requiring the lighting Inspector to report the number of each lamp that was not lighted &c was passed by the President on the 24th January 1898 (Vide Diary

of that date). As the diary book used to be given back to the lighting Inspector every day as soon as orders were passed by the President on each days diary, it is evident that Subba Rao became aware of the order on the very day it was passed, though a separate written order (dt^d 11/1/1) containing the purport of the original one was issued to him from the Office on the 31^st January 1901 and a which he alludes in his appeal petition.

3. An extract from the "D" Register is herewith submitted.

4 The enclosure is herewith returned

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed^t Servant

Superintendent

ESE

R.L. 205-6.

Re 1514/4
L.T. 303-96 9/5/01.
Spl: rie petition to P. Bl: city.

From the Same
2639 16/5/01 L 2100

To

The Presdt, the Comml
Bangalore City

—

His no. 201 dated the 17th April 1901 forwarding the appeal petition of Sr Subba Rao late Lighting Inspector of the City Mpl.

—

With reference to the above has the honour to request that the Presdt will be good enough to submit the original papers relating to the petitrs dismissal, and to report as to the correctness or otherwise of the allegation made by the petr in para 4 of his petition, which is herewith returned for reference.

'By order'

GB 10/5

for

21

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Read:-

Proceedings 88-1900-5

Letter No 201 dated 17th April 1901 from the President Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, submitting the Petition of M Subba Rao, late Lighting Inspector of the City municipality appealing against the order dated 1st February 1898 of the late President Mr T. T. Leonard dismissing Petitioner from service for neglect of duty and disobedience to orders

(2) Letter from the same office No 283 dated 16th May 1901, submitting with reference to this office No 1514 / L-T 303-96 of the 11th Idem, the Diary of the Petitioner for 1897-98 and

an extract ~~therefrom~~ of
order etc 474 of 31st January
1898 from the Lo Register.

R.L.38

No 387 of 10/7/01.
L.T.186-93
Re: an ~~Contract~~
& a diary book

Order thereon

~~It is observed~~
~~that the~~ The President of the Lo,
(Mr. T. T. Leonard) recorded
in the above Diary
an order on 24 January
1898 requiring the Petitioners
~~that he should in future~~
to specify the number of
Lanterns in which lamps
were ~~only~~ before the prescribed hour,
~~seen~~ extinguished & ~~and~~

A copy of this order was
officially communicated
to him on the 31st of January
1898. On the very next
day, another order was
issued dismissing ~~him~~ the Petr
from service on the ground of
his unfitness

and of the
~~case~~ omission to note
number
the ~~no~~ of lamps in his
diary. The Petitioner
~~the~~ now appeals against this order
~~should not have slept~~
~~over his dismissal for~~
~~2 years and 3 months.~~

Government observe
that ~~dismissal~~ Summary
dismissal of the kind
referred to is too severe
a punishment to be
inflicted on ~~the public~~ Petitioner
~~servant~~ for the mistake
committed by him, despite
opposed to the Standing orders
~~issued~~ on the Subject.

As ~~he~~ the punishment
he has already undergone
as
is sufficient ~~to make~~
warning to him,
~~have more attentive to his~~
~~superiors orders in future,~~
the Govt are pleased
his
to direct, ~~the~~ re-employ-
ment ~~of the~~ Petitioner
in the Municipality on
Carrying
a post, ~~of~~ not less than
his former pay, on the
occurrence of the first
vacancy

Secretary

To,
The President Mt. Comm
Bangalore City
returning the papers
with reply

R & 39
No 3851 9/10/01
No. L7186-93
Mr. B.

Endorsement

In reply to his Petn
9/11/01 submitted through the President
City municipality, Pattabi
M. Subba Row, late
Inspector is informed
he should report
to the President City
Comm for re-employment
in Ca
to a post of not less
his former pay on the
occurrence of the 1st

B. C

JB
25/6

for Secy

that his reinstate-
ment on a place of
carrying not less
pay than his former
one be on the
occurrence of the
first vacancy has
been ordered &
that he should report
himself to the
Pres. B'ore City Mun. Comm.
for
such re-
employment

(F)

Three enclosures of this petition
are herewith returned.